

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MARCIE MEYER and MICHAEL
MEYER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CURRIE TECH CORP. and ACCELL
NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

8:16CV542

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Counter-Designations of Deposition Testimony (Filing No. 202). Based upon the Court's review of portions of the deposition transcripts which the parties claim will be offered in evidence, the Court rules as follows:

Mitch Robb (Part 1), Ex. 148

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 55, ln 10 after "I can't speak to it. . ."	Move to strike non-responsive portion of answer.	Overruled
p. 57, ln 20 to p. 64, ln 19	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim only as to designated – (not all of range designated/highlighted)
p. 113, ln 25 to p. 114, ln 24	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled – as to negligence claim

Mitch Robb (Part 2), Ex. 309

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 34, ln 15 to p. 35, ln 1	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim

p. 36, ln 13 to p. 38, ln 20	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim
p. 39, ln 19 to p. 39, ln 22	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim
p. 45, ln 11 to p. 46, ln 3	Move to strike non-responsive portion of answer	Overruled
p. 55, ln 14 to p. 56, ln 19	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim
p. 58, ln 11 to p. 58, ln 22	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim

Beth Horner, Ex. 151

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 134, ln 14-18	Not responsive to any question, no question was designated	Sustained
p. 152, ln 21 to p. 153, ln 2	Foundation	Overruled
p. 155, ln 23	Form and speculation	The cited line/page is only an objection; Overruled

Tracy Spinella, Ex. 294

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 89, ln 1 to p. 92, ln 5	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #8	Sustained

Justin Schniderman, Ex. 145

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 48, ln 4 to p. 51, ln 11 (If overruled – Plaintiffs designate p. 51, ln 12 to p. 51, ln 14.)	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled – Plaintiffs may add the counter-designation requested
p. 54, ln 6 to . 54, ln 22 (If overruled – Plaintiffs designate p. 54, ln 23 to p. 55, ln 12.)	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled – Plaintiffs may add the counter-designation requested

Larry Pizzi (2), Ex. 211

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 87, ln 3-9	Move to strike non-responsive portion of answer	Overruled


Larry Pizzi (3), Ex. 303

Page: Line Number	Objection	Rulings
p. 18, ln 25, to p. 19, ln 16	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine #2	Overruled as to negligence claim

The parties are reminded that any video depositions to be used at trial should be edited so that any objectionable testimony is removed.

Dated this 6th day of March 2019.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert F. Rossiter, Jr.", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Robert F. Rossiter, Jr.
United States District Judge